UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,818	06/06/2005	Martin Jung	272588US0PCT	8550
	7590 05/19/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			ORLANDO, MICHAEL N	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1791		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/537,818	JUNG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		MICHAEL N. OKLANDO	1791	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE F	REPLY FILED <u>30 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance with the filed with 1.114. The reply must be filed with the file	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) [no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. LED WITHIN TWO
have b under set fort may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
-	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	<u>IDMENTS</u>	·	()	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because it is a final rejection, because it is a final rejection, because it is a file proposed amendment (see NOTE belowed). They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
`	appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	corresponding number of finally reje	. , ,	10 133403 101
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 16-21</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good andwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but New issues have been presented which require a further			
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	lip C Tucker/ ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments present two new claims with no claims having been cancelled, resulting in a net increase of two claims. Also, new issues such as the laminate being alternatively a composite laminate and the substrates consisting of specific materials such as paper, card, polymer film, metallized film and metal foils are presented and such would require a further search to determine their patentability over the prior art.